



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

**ISSN: 2277-9655** 

**CODEN: IJESS7** 

**Impact Factor: 5.164** 

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS & OPTIMIZATION OF FRONT AXLE IN ELECTRIC** 

VEHICLES A Aditya Sainadh<sup>\*1</sup>

\* Department of Mechanical Engineering, Lendi Institute Of Engineering And Technology, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**DOI**: 10.5281/zenodo.1403371

## ABSTRACT

Front axle (FA) is the most important part especially in a load carrying vehicle. The failure of front axle is a serious concern in commercial vehicles. So, it is necessary to analyse the front axle that able to withstand at severe load conditions. To this kingpin stub axle plays a major role in direction control of a vehicle. This is to analyse the front axle at different loads. Kingpin stubaxle linked with other linkages and supports vertical weight of the vehicle. This mainly deals about the improvement of strength of front axle, material selection. For finding the stresses on the beam using solid works software for static analysis and finding the loads at which axle is going to be deformed. The analysis is carried out to the vertical loads where total weight is carried out by the vehicle <sup>[7]</sup>. As using the kingpin stub axle assembly for front axle the cornering loads are more for kingpin. As the vertical loads are applied on the PAD spring which gives major support for front axle. Further the objective of analysis is to improve product quality, developing time, material and manufacturing costs and maintaining stress levels. This can be achieved by performing detailed load analysis.

**KEYWORDS**: FA, kingpin stub axle, FEA, commercial vehicles, static analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

An axle is a centre shaft for a rotating wheel or gear. On wheeled vehicles, the axle may be fixed with the wheels, rotating with them or fixed to the vehicle with the wheels rotating around the axle. The 30-40% of vehicle weight is carried by the front axle. Bearings or bushings are provided at the mounting points where the axle is supported<sup>[4]</sup>. In the present analysis the front axle (FA) is designed and analysed using softwares like Solidworks, hyperworks capabilities, Ansys analysis software<sup>[7]</sup>. It is further optimised for reduction in mass. Static analysis of FA is carried out to withstand all the forces coming in the working conditions of the vehicles. Off road conditions like uneven surfaces and bumpy roads on which the vehicle has to operate. These ground irregularities leads to unexpected loads coming on to the body parts. Ackerman geometry plays a important role in turning radius. Kingpin stubaxle assembly is main load carrying member for front wheels and helps in steering of the vehicle<sup>[7]</sup>. Stubaxle takes the load coming from the front wheels and transfer it into supports. Front axle is like a simply supported beam. Under dynamic conditions, vertical bending moment is increased due to road roughness. Thus it is very difficult to find the crack propagation in short time. During the operation of the vehicle, road surface irregularity causes cyclic fluctuations of stresses on the axle, which is the main load carrying member.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Front axle beam:

Front portion of the car is carried out by a beam. So, in the front axle there are two types

(i) Dead axle (ii) Line axle

Dead axle are those which do not rotate. These axles have sufficient rigidity and strength to take the weight. The ends are suitably designed to accommodate stub axles. Line axles are used to transmit power from gear box to front wheels. Kingpin on one end is connected to stub axle and other end is connected to front axle via stud and bushes.



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7



Figure 2.1.1 kingpin along with stub axle

At static condition the axle may be considered as beam supported vertical upwards at the ends i.e. at the centre of the wheels and loaded vertically downwards at the centre of the spring pads<sup>[5]</sup>. The vertical bending moment thus caused is zero at point of support and rises linearly to maximum at the point of loading remains constant.



Figure 2.1.2 Live axle of the vehicle

On wheeled vehicles, the axles could be mounted to the wheels, also can maintain the position of the wheels relative to each other and to the vehicle body. Figure 2.1 below shows the front axle beam along with hub which we seen in commercial vehicles which are heavy weight. To optimize such solution to design a new shape of front axle support this reduces the weight by changing material of the axle and to increase the performance of the vehicle.



Figure 2.1.3 Front dead axle along with hub

FA is analysed to find out factor of safety for critically stressed region due to vertical load, brake load and cornering load under running conditions.



## 2.2 Vehicle specification:

| Motor          | Brushless direct current motor (BLDC) of 1.5    |
|----------------|---|
|                | KW, 48 V, 32 amp                                |
| Maximum power  | 3000 rpm of 1.5 kw                              |
| Maximum torque | 18.8 N-m  |
| Transmission   | One speed synchromesh gear box                  |
| Rear axle      | Differential of two half axles                  |
| Frame          | (AISI 1018) seamless mild steel material of     |
|                | hollow circular section pipe of 1 inch dia, 2mm |
|                | thickness                                       |
| Suspension     | Semi- elliptical laminated of single leaf with  |
|                | centre bolt PAD.                                |
| Steering       | Rack and pinion                                 |
| Brakes         | Hydraullic disc brakes for only rear wheels.    |
|                |   |
| Battery        | Exide C10 batteries of rating 48V, 65 Ah.       |
|                |   |
| Tyres          | Front – 90/90 r12 of MRF nylon                  |
|                | Rear - 90/90 r12 of MRF nylon                   |

Table – 1: Specifications of the vehicle<sup>[5]</sup>

## 2.3 Dimensions:

| Wheel base           | 1802 mm |
|----------------------|---------|
| Overall length       | 2900 mm |
| Overall width        | 1450 mm |
| Front track width    | 1360 mm |
| Rear track width     | 1360 mm |
| Min ground clearance | 200 mm  |
| Max. Speed           | 45 Kmph |

 Table – 2:
 Vehicle dimensions<sup>[5]</sup>

## **III. EXPERIMENTATION**

## 3.1 Methodology:

- (a) Select the part which is to be improved.
- (b) Create a 3-D parametric model in the software.
- (c) For this we are using solid works software for stress analysis.
- (d) For mesh using static analysis in the software
- (e) Apply material for the part using to be tested.
- (f) Apply fixed geometry for the product and apply some force and gravity to the part.
- (g) The obtained FEA results are verifies with the set of experimental test procedures.

#### **3.2 Data required from vehicle:**

For analysis of front axle we are using square pipe of 2 inch diameter of 4 mm thickness of electric car.

| Parametre                                | Value |
|--|-------|
| Total weight of the vehicle (kg)         | 350   |
| Laden weight on front axle (kg)          | 400   |
| Laden weight on rear axle (kg)           | 610   |
| Wheel base in mm                         | 1801  |
| KP eye distance to KP eye distance in mm | 1160  |
| PAD to PAD distance in mm                | 900   |
| Spring pad width in mm                   | 80    |
| Spring pad length in mm                  | 80    |
| Velocity of vehicle (km/hr)              | 22    |



| [Sainadh* <i>et al.</i> , 7(8): August, 2018]<br>[C <sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00 | Impact Facto<br>CODEN: | or: 5.164<br>IJESS7 |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Bore diameter KBP (Di)  | 10                     |                     |
| KBP outer diameter (Do)   | 12                     |                     |

Table - 3: Input data from the vehicle under analysis

**ISSN: 2277-9655** 

## 3.3 Material description of FAB:

| Specification - Stainless ste | eel of grade (SA | AE 304)             |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Chemical composition          | - Austenite      | 70%                 |
|                               | Carbon           | 0.15%               |
|                               | Chromium         | 16%                 |
| Density                       | _                | 7.75 (g/cm3)        |
| Poisson's ratio               | _                | 0.275               |
| Hardness                      | _                | 1700 – 2100 Mpa     |
| Bulk modulus                  | _                | 134 – 151 Gpa       |
| Fracture toughness            | _                | 119-228 Mpa. M(1/2) |
| Modulus of elasticity         | -                | 193-200 Gpa         |
| Tensile strength, yield-      | 215 Mpa          |                     |

#### 3.4 Modeling:

The result of the analysis of our front axle (FA) is to be done using solid works where the more load is acting on the beam and on which area the load is actually concentrating is to be known using finite element analysis(FEA) using analysis software. By using this we can easily know the weak areas on the beam.



Figure 3.4 3D Modelling of Front axle

## 3.5 Analytical Load calculations:

Total load on FAB = 400 kg Load on one side PAD = 400/2 = 200 kg Taking factor for impact conditions = 2 Load to be applied on one side PAD (p) = 200 \* 2 = 400 kg = 3924 N Kingpin area =  $3.142/4*(\text{ Do}^2 - \text{Di}^2)$ = 34.562 mm2Pressure on each KBP = 3924/34.562= 113.535 N/mm2

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Loads and boundary conditions:

We calculate loads in terms of pressure, which directly applied on KPB surface. Similarly the PAD is fixed to the axle. After solving the problem where the more stress is applied is found.



## 4.2 Finite element analysis of front axle:

#### **4.2.1 Material Properties**

| Model Reference  | Properties  |   | Components                       |        |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| and the second s | Name:Model type:Defaultfailurecriterion:Yield strength:Tensile strength:Elastic modulus:Poisson's ratio:Mass density:Shear modulus:Thermalexpansioncoefficient: | AISI 304<br>Linear Elastic Isotropic<br>Max von Mises Stress<br>2.06807e+008 N/m^2<br>5.17017e+008 N/m^2<br>1.9e+011 N/m^2<br>0.29<br>8000 kg/m^3<br>7.5e+010 N/m^2<br>1.8e-005 /Kelvin | SolidBody<br>Extrude1)(front ax) | 1(Cut- |

Curve Data:N/A

#### **4.2.2Boundaries and Fixtures**

| Fixture name      | Fixture In              | nage   | Fixture Details                       | Fixture Details |                  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| Fixed-1           | 3                       | and the second s | Entities:4 edge(s)Type:Fixed Geometry |                 | e(s)<br>Geometry |  |  |
| Resultant Forces  |                         |  |                                       |                 |                  |  |  |
| Components        |                         | X  | Y                                     | Ζ               | Resultant        |  |  |
| Reaction force(N) |                         | 0.0956688  | 1571.72                               | 0.0888062       | 1571.72          |  |  |
| Reaction Moment(  | ( <b>N</b> • <b>m</b> ) | 0  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                |  |  |

#### 4.2.3 Meshing:

Front axle designed using Solidworks 17.0 in which after the CAD modeling completed it undergoes meshing. For meshing we applied a fine standard mesh is used for the hollow axle to get the accurate deformation results. As the fine mesh is used so that it applies load on each and every corner of the axle to obtain feasible results.

# Mesh Information

| Mesh type                | Solid Mesh    |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Mesher Used:             | Standard mesh |
| Automatic Transition:    | Off           |
| Include Mesh Auto Loops: | Off           |
| Jacobian points          | 4 Points      |
| Element Size             | 13.5898 mm    |
| Tolerance                | 0.679491 mm   |
| Mesh Quality             | High          |

http: // www.ijesrt.com<sup>©</sup> International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7

#### **Mesh Information - Details**

| Total Nodes                          | 17102     |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Total Elements                       | 8470      |
| Maximum Aspect Ratio                 | 10.351    |
| % of elements with Aspect Ratio < 3  | 47.3      |
| % of elements with Aspect Ratio > 10 | 0.0826    |
| % of distorted elements(Jacobian)    | 0         |
| Time to complete mesh(hh;mm;ss):     | 00:00:11  |
| Computer name:                       | GANESH-PC |



Figure – 4.2.3: Meshing of axle.

#### 4.2.4 Resultant Forces

| Reaction Forces  |       |           |         |           |           |
|------------------|-------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Selection set    | Units | Sum X     | Sum Y   | Sum Z     | Resultant |
| Entire Model     | Ν     | 0.0956688 | 1571.72 | 0.0888062 | 1571.72   |
| Reaction Moments |       |           |         |           |           |
| Selection set    | Units | Sum X     | Sum Y   | Sum Z     | Resultant |
| Entire Model     | N·m   | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0         |

## 4.2.5 Results

| Name    | Туре                  | Min                        | Max                               |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Stress1 | VON: von Mises Stress | 56046 N/m^2<br>Node: 16208 | 8.75237e+007 N/m^2<br>Node: 16726 |

Optimization of front axle support is the best optimize design due to the lowest occurred stress and mass. By the finite element analysis method and the assistance of solidworks software, it is able to analyze stress and strain. In analytical we made maximum stress up to 215Mpa. Shape optimization were analyzed to the front axle support are according to the results. Figure 4.2.3, 4.2.4, 4.2.5 shown below are the design of front axle support, factor of safety and deflection produced after analysis.



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7



Figure – 4.2.2: Von mises stress in axle for SAE 304 material.

Figure 4.2.3 shows the chosen material SAE 304 shows the yield strength of 206807.000 in the analysis scale. As the gravity 9.81 m/s2 is also applied on the centre of the front axle.



Figure – 4.2.4: Deflection of axle for SAE 304 material.

The above schematic shows the different levels of deformation under the load applied on the front axle support which gives the optimized solution under different stress and strain. As per analysis scale shown in the figure 4.2.4.

| Name    | Туре                     | Min                          | Max                          |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Strain1 | ESTRN: Equivalent Strain | 2.0367e-007<br>Element: 3276 | 0.000216966<br>Element: 4759 |

http://www.ijesrt.com@International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



## ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7



| Name    | Туре                  | Min                        | Max                               |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Stress2 | VON: von Mises Stress | 56046 N/m^2<br>Node: 16208 | 8.75237e+007 N/m^2<br>Node: 16726 |



| Name    | Туре                     | Min          | Max         |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Strain2 | ESTRN: Equivalent Strain | 2.53682e-007 | 0.00039616  |
|         |                          | Node: 16208  | Node: 16726 |



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7



#### 4.2.6 Factor of safety:

From this analysis at this stress the obtained factor of safety is Min FOS = 2.4. From this FEA (Finite element analysis) we obtain a better result which is preferable for fabrication of the front axle.



#### Figure - 4.2.6: Factor of safety of axle for SAE 304 material.

| Name          | Туре                         | Min              | Max                        |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Displacement2 | URES: Resultant Displacement | 0 mm<br>Node: 15 | 0.0846632 mm<br>Node: 4442 |

#### **4.3 Optimization approach:**

The objective of optimization technique is to minimize the mass of the front axle support and reduce the cost of production. The front axle support is subjected to tensile load which gives the factor of safety up to 2.4. The implementation of these optimizations is to find out the best design and shape of the front axle support to improve the performance and strength especially in critical positions.



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 5.164 CODEN: IJESS7



| Name    | Туре                     | Min                         | Max                       |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Strain3 | ESTRN: Equivalent Strain | 2.53682e-007<br>Node: 16208 | 0.00039616<br>Node: 16726 |



## 4.4 Comparision of results:

Comparision between analytical and FEA results.

| S.no | Material | Parameter | Analytical<br>Result | FEA<br>result | % o<br>error |
|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1.   | SAE 304  | stress    | 215                  | 1452          | 0.14         |

 Table – 4 Results comparison

**RESULTS:** Hence correct design of front axle (FA) is very critical. The approach in the report has been divided into two steps. In first step analytical method used for design of front axle. In second step is to analyse the front axle (FA) using solidworks software. The finite element analysis (FEA) result is to be compared with analytical method.



## V. CONCLUSION

From above results it is clearly shown, AISI 304 material is suitable for our vehicle. Also in the present we have satisfactory co-relation between hand calculations done analytically FEA results. The deflection in FEA gave confidence that the boundary condition for the axle is correctly simulated. Meshing and modelling used for the component is well defined.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mechanical power transmission components by David W. South.
- [2] The steel handbook by Alok Nayar.
- [3] Industrial steel reference book by S.N.Bagachi & Kuldeep Prakash.
- [4] Siddarth Dey, P.R.V.V.V.Sri Rama Chandra Murthy, D.P.Bhaskar "Structural analysis of front axle beam of a light weight commercial vehicle" Inetrnational Jouranal Of Trends and Technology, Volume 11, Number 5 May 2014.
- [5] Ketan Vijay Dhande, Prashant Ulhe "Design and Analysis Of Front Axle of Heavy Commercial Vehicle", International Journal Of Science, Technology and Management, Volume No 3, Issue No.12, December 2014.
- [6] PapalambrosPanosY.Douglas J.Wilde., Principles Of Optimal Design,Modelling & Computation,49,Pp.253 268, Cambridge University press.,1988.
- [7] OSA 10 Design\_improvement\_in "Kingpin stub axle assembly" Using\_FEA\_ Sonalika (ITL).
- [8] Praveen R.Ahire, Prof.K.H.Munde " Design and Analysis Of Front Axle For Heavy Commercial Vehicle".

#### **CITE AN ARTICLE**

Sainadh, A. A. (2018). DESIGN, ANALYSIS & OPTIMIZATION OF FRONT AXLE IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES* & *RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY*, 7(8), 557-567.